



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,618	12/28/2001	Nick A. Van Stralen	7589.0004	3863
7590 10/28/2005				
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315		EXAMINER TRAN, KHANH C		
		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		2631		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/035,618	Applicant(s) VAN STRALEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Khanh Tran	Art Unit 2631	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 9 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on 08/12/2005 has been entered. Claims 1-9 are pending in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-3 of the Reply To Office Action, filed on 08/12/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 5-8 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lindbom et al. U.S. Patent 5,581,580.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lindbom et al. U.S. Patent 5,581,580.

Regarding claim 5, in column 1, lines 10-30, Lindbom et al. discusses in the field of the invention that the channel is typically modeled as a complex valued finite impulse response filter (FIR) wherein the characteristics of the channel are taps or coefficients of

Art Unit: 2631

the filter model. In order to track the channel characteristics during data detection, the equalizer has to operate in what is known as a "decision directed mode". This means that the algorithm that estimates the channel parameters uses detected data symbols as inputs. The equalizer requires knowledge of the channel characteristics, which requires that the channel characteristics be tracked if they vary with time. In view of the foregoing discussion, the equalizer tracks the channel characteristics that vary with time. The equalizer corresponds to the claimed tracking circuit, which models the delay and widening characteristic due to taps or coefficients of the filter model as recited above. The channel filter model corresponds to the claimed digital system. The equalizer operates in parallel with the filter model.

Regarding claim 6, as recited in claim 5, the channel is typically modeled as a complex valued finite impulse response filter (FIR) wherein the characteristics of the channel are taps or coefficients of the filter model. Hence, the FIR filter corresponds to the claimed digital filter.

Regarding claim 7, as recited in claim 5, the equalizer, corresponds to the claimed digital filter model, tracks the delay and the widening characteristics of the channel characteristics, which is typically modeled as a complex valued finite impulse response filter (FIR) wherein the characteristics of the channel are taps or coefficients of the filter model.

Regarding claim 8, claim 8 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 5 because of similar scope.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-3 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 1, claim 1 is allowed over prior art of record because the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of identifying distorted output signals from a digital system comprising the steps of "providing an input signal to the tracking circuit wherein the input signal has a first value when a distorted signal is input to the digital system and has a second value when a non-distorted signal is input to the digital system" and "providing an output signal from the tracking circuit wherein the output signal has a first value to indicate that a corresponding output signal from the digital system is distorted and has a second value to indicate that a corresponding output signal from the digital system is not distorted".

5. Claim 4 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding 4, claim 4 is allowed over prior art of record because the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of identifying distorted output signals from a digital filter comprising the steps as set forth in the application claim.

6. Claim 9 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding 9, claim 9 is allowed over prior art of record because the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of identifying distorted output signals from a digital filter comprising the steps as set forth in the application claim.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Garrido U.S. Patent 5,959,500 discloses "Model-Based Adaptive Feedforward Amplifier Linearizer".

Kalthoff et al. U.S. Patent 5,103,230 discloses "Precision Digitized Current Integration And Measurement Circuit".

Andre U.S. Patent 6,545,535 B2 discloses "Method And Apparatus For Reducing Distortion".

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-3007. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 08:00 AM - 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCT

Khanh Cong Tran

10/27/2005

Examiner KHANH TRAN